Quick reminder about magnetism

Laurent Ranno Institut Néel CNRS-Univ. Grenoble Alpes

laurent.ranno@neel.cnrs.fr

Hercules Specialised Course 18 - Grenoble

14 septembre 2015

What is special about magnetism?

All electrons are magnetic

Vast quantity of magnetic materials Many magnetic configurations and magnetic phases and wide range of applications

Magnetism





Many different materials are used : oxides, elements, alloys, films, bulk

Many different effects are exploited : high coercivity, evanescent anisotropy, magnetoresistance, antiferromagnetism, exchange bias ...

All need to be understood in detail

- to improve the material properties
- to imagine/discover new materials / new effects / new applications
- to test ideas in great detail

You can build a model magnetic system to test your ideas.

In this lecture I will review some basic concepts to study magnetism

- Origin of atomic magnetic moments
- Magnetic moments in a solid
- Interacting Magnetic moments
- Ferromagnetism and Micromagnetism

Electrons are charged particles (fermions) which have an intrinsic magnetic moment (the spin).

Electronic magnetism is the most developped domain but nuclear magnetism is not forgotten :

Negligible nuclear magnetisation but ... it has given birth to NMR, MRI which have a huge societal impact

A neutron beam (polarised or not) is a great tool to study magnetism (see Laurent Chapon's lecture)

Today I will restrict my lecture to electronic magnetism

Orbital Magnetic Moment

Electrons are charged particles :



one electron on its atomic orbit = current loop = coil Its orbital magnetic moment $\vec{\mu}_{orb}$ is :

$$\vec{\mu}_{orb} = S \cdot I \vec{n} = \pi r^2 \cdot \frac{-ev}{2\pi r} \vec{n} = -\frac{rve}{2} \vec{n}$$

Its angular momentum is $\vec{\sigma}_I$:

$$\vec{\sigma}_I = \vec{r} \wedge \vec{p} = \vec{r} \wedge m\vec{v} = mrv\vec{n}$$

the orbital magnetic moment and the angular momentum are proportional :

$$\vec{\mu}_{orb} = -\frac{e}{2m}\vec{\sigma}_I = \gamma\vec{\sigma}_I$$

They point in opposite directions. γ is the gyromagnetic factor

First source of atomic magnetism : the orbital motion

The electron is a quantum particle : $\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 |\Psi(t)\rangle + V |\Psi(t)\rangle = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\Psi(t)\rangle$ Schrödinger equation

A proper quantum calculation does not modify the previous result

However moments are quantified $\vec{L} = \vec{r} \wedge \vec{p}$ gives $L^2 |\Psi\rangle = I(I+1)\hbar^2 |\Psi\rangle$

To properly describe the electron, one needs the relativistic Dirac equation, which introduces a new quantity without classical equivalent : the spin

Second origin for atomic magnetism : spin

To define an atomic electronic state 4 quantum numbers are required :

- n_i : the main quantum number
- Each n_i corresponds to an electronic shell named K, L, M, N ...

- I_i is the orbital angular momentum quantum number
- l_i can be chosen between 0 and $n_i 1$
- *I_i* characterises the electronic sub-shell

li	0	1	2	3
	s	р	d	f

m_i is the magnetic quantum number

$$-I_i \leqslant m_i \leqslant I_i$$

Finally σ_i is the spin quantum number For an electron (fermion s=1/2) it can be : $\sigma_z |\Psi\rangle = \pm \frac{1}{2}\hbar |\Psi\rangle$ Each electronic state is characterised by its 4 quantum numbers

To describe the atom, the filling of each electronic state has to be defined.

How to fill the electronic states? The lowest energy configuration must obey : Pauli principle(1925) Electrons are fermions, so one electron at most in each quantum state $(n_i, l_i, m_i, \sigma_i)$. One defines the atomic spin moment S

$$\vec{S} = \sum_{i} \vec{\sigma}_{i}$$

1st Hund's rule : S maximum, taking Pauli principle into account. (=minimizing Coulomb interaction between electrons)

The atomic orbital momentum L

$$\vec{L} = \sum_{i} \vec{m}_{i}$$

 2^{nd} Hund's rule L maximum, keeping Pauli and 1^{st} rule.

Hund's rules

 Tb^{+3} is $4f^8$

m _i	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3
$\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$	↑	↑	↑	\uparrow	\uparrow	\uparrow	\uparrow
$\sigma = -\frac{1}{2}$	\downarrow						

so L=3 and S=3

Not enough to get the total atomic angular momentum $\vec{J} = \vec{L} + \vec{S}$ The 3rd Hund's rule couples \vec{L} and \vec{S} Physical origin : spin-orbit coupling $H = \lambda \vec{L}.\vec{S}, \lambda \propto \frac{\partial V_{elec}}{r\partial r}$

 \vec{L} and \vec{S} are antiparallel, i.e. J = |L - S| for a filling < 50%

 \vec{L} et \vec{S} parallel, i.e. J = L + S for a filling > 50% (here J=6)

Laurent Ranno Institut Néel CNRS-Univ. Grenoble Alpes Quick reminder about magnetism

Multiplets



The ground state is occupied, often the excited states are very/too high in energy.

If the excited state can be thermally populated : T-dependent J number

Bohr magneton

Using classical mechanics

$$\vec{\mu}_{orb} = -rac{e}{2m}\vec{\sigma}_I$$

Quantification of the momentum :

$$\vec{\sigma}_I = \hbar \vec{l}$$

Leads to quantification of the orbital magnetic moment :

$$\vec{\mu}_I = -\frac{e\hbar}{2m}\vec{l} = -\mu_B\vec{l}$$

 μ_B is the Bohr magneton, it is the smallest possible value for an electron magnetic moment.

$$\Rightarrow 1\mu_B = 9.27 \quad 10^{-24} \text{ Am}^2$$

It is the relevant unit to measure atomic magnetic moments.

Orbital :

$$\vec{\sigma}_I = \hbar \vec{l}$$
$$\vec{\mu}_I = -\mu_B \vec{l}$$

Spin :

 $ec{\sigma}_{s}=\hbarec{s}$ $ec{\mu}_{s}=-2\mu_{B}ec{\sigma}_{s}$

Spin and orbit do not have the same gyromagnetic factor

For an atom (L, S, J), there is : an atomic orbital moment $\vec{m}_L = -\mu_B \vec{L}$ an atomic spin magnetic moment $\vec{m}_S = -2\mu_B \vec{S}$ $\vec{m}_L = -\mu_B \vec{L}$

Atomic magnetic moments



Laurent Ranno Institut Néel CNRS-Univ. Grenoble Alpes Quick reminder about magnetism

 $\vec{m}_L + \vec{m}_S = -\mu_B(\vec{L} + 2\vec{S})$ $\vec{m}_L + \vec{m}_S$ is not colinear with $\vec{J} = \vec{L} + \vec{S}$ The total atomic magnetic moment is not proportional to \vec{J} J is a good quantum number for the Hamiltonian. The magnetic moment measurable value is its projection along \vec{J} One can define the Landé factor g_J

$$ec{\mu_J} = -g_J \mu_B J$$

 $g_J = 1 + rac{J(J+1) + S(S+1) - L(L+1)}{2J(J+1)}$

Degeneracy of moment



To lift the degeneracy, a magnetic field can be applied.

When an electromagnetic field is applied, the electron Hamiltonian has to be modified

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m}$$
 becomes $H = \frac{1}{2m}(\vec{p} - q\vec{A})^2 + q\phi$

One can expand :

H = kinetic energy + Zeeman term + diamagnetic term

 $H = \frac{1}{2m} (\vec{p} - q\vec{A})^2 + q\phi$

In a uniform field (|| z) we can choose $\vec{A} = -\frac{1}{2}\vec{r} \wedge \vec{B}$ H = kinetic energy + Zeeman term + diamagnetic term

Zeeman
$$H_{Zeeman} = \frac{-q}{2m}\vec{L}.\vec{B} = -\vec{\mu}.\vec{B} + \text{similar expression for spin.}$$

and diamagnetic $H_{dia} = \frac{q^2}{2m}A^2 = \frac{q^2B^2}{8m}(x^2 + y^2)$

The diamagnetic part explains the magnetic response of non magnetic materials (e.g. silicon) ($\chi < 0$, proportional to electron number and orbit area, T-independent).

maximum moment that can be measured : $g_J J \mu_B$

For a filled sub-shell : S=0, L=0 so J=0 No magnetic moment

For a half-filled subshell : L=0, J=S No orbital moment

Magnetic atoms :

Partially unoccupied sub-shells : 79 out of 103 elements

	Elements non magnétiques à l'état atomique															2 He		
	3 Li	4 Be												6 C	7 N	8 0	9 F	10 Ne
	11 Na	12 Mg											13 Al	14 Si	16 P	16 S	17 Cl	18 Ar
	19 K	20 Ca	21 Sc	22 Ti	23 V	24 Cr	25 Mn	20 Fe	27 Co	28 Ni	29 Cu	30 Zn	31 Ga	32 Ge	33 As	34 Se	35 Br	36 Kr
	37 Rb	38 Sr	39 Y	40 Zr	41 Nb	42 Mo	43 Tc	44 Ru	45 Rh	46 Pd	47 Ag	48 Cd	49 In	50 Sn	51 Sb	52 Te	63 	54 Xe
	55 Cs	68 Ba	•	72 Hf	73 Ta	74 W	75 Re	78 Os	77 r	78 Pt	79 Au	eo Hg	81 TI	82 Pb	es Bi	84 Po	es At	ee Rn
	87 Fr	88 Ra	**	104 Rf	105 Db	106 Sg	107 Bh	108 Hs	109 Mt	110 Ds	111 Rg	112 Uub	113 Uut	114 Uuq	115 Uup	116 Uuh	117 Uus	118 Uuo
* Lanthanides		57 La	58 Ce	59 Pr	60 Nd	01 Pm	62 Sm	63 Eu	04 Gd	es Tb	ee Dy	67 Ho	68 Er	o9 Tm	70 Yb	71 Lu		
			Actinides	so Ac	oo Th	01 Pa	92 U	оз Np	94 Pu	95 Am	96 Cm	97 Bk	98 Cf	00 Es	100 Fm	101 Md	102 No	103 Lr

In a solid, chemical bonds appear





Two series are mostly studied : 3d transition metals and 4f rare-earths but also 4d (Pd, Ru), 5d (Pt,Re) , 5f (U) \dots

Magnetic Atoms in a Solid

For a sphere of magnetic material (= isotropic shape) experimentally, there exists : easy axes to magnetise and hard axes



Crystal Electric Field



Partially filled electronic shell \Rightarrow non spherical sub-shell.

Effect of the crystalline environment (Coulomb repulsion) = Crystal Electric Field (CEF) Prefered crystallographic axes to rotate the electronic density (the orbits) Spin-orbit coupling $\Rightarrow \vec{S}$ also rotates to easy axes CEF modifies the configuration (lift sub-shell degeneracy)

Atomic orbitals

3d orbitals

Spherical harmonics $Y'_m(\theta, \phi)$ is a good base for isotropic environments.

The e_g , t_{2g} base is more suitable for a cubic CEF.



The two e_g (bottom) and three t_{2g} (top) orbitals. g stands for inversion symmetry, e and t come from group theory.



Degeneracy is lifted in a cubic charged environment. High spin or Low spin configuration depending on CEF vs U. Since $\langle e_g | L_z | e_g \rangle = \langle t_{2g} | L_z | t_{2g} \rangle = 0$, there is quenching of the

orbital moment

True for 3d atoms (not for 4f rare earths because 4f is an inner subshell, less influence from neighbours)

Magnetocrystaline energy is described using a formalism adapted to the crystallographic symmetry. It can be written using a complete basis (Stevens operators) or a phenomelogical expression (a few K_i magnetocrystalline coefficients dependent on available data)

Cubic symmetry :

 $E_{an.mc.}(direction cosines) = K_1(\alpha_1^2\alpha_2^2 + \alpha_2^2\alpha_3^2 + \alpha_3^2\alpha_1^2) + K_2\alpha_1^2\alpha_2^2\alpha_3^2$ Uniaxial symmetry :

$$E_{an.mc.}(\theta) = K_1 \sin^2 \theta + K_2 \sin^4 \theta$$

Experimentally, magnetic order appears in some solids magnetic moments interact

What are the possible interactions?

- dipolar (dipole-dipole : $1\mu_B$ creates ≈ 1 Tesla ≈ 1 K)
- exchange

insulators (localised magnetic moments = localised magnetism) will not be modelled the same way as metals (band magnetism = itinerant magnetism)

Exchange can be direct or indirect : oxygen atoms Fe-O-Fe (super-exchange, double exchange), conduction band to couple localised spins in rare-earth metals (RKKY like), Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya chiral 90° interaction) Microscopic mechanism (Hubbard model)



$$H = -\sum t_{ij}.c^+_{i\sigma}c_{j\sigma} + U\sum n_{i\uparrow}n_{i\downarrow}$$

hopping t ($\Delta x.\Delta p > h$, more delocalised = less kinetic energy) and Coulomb repulsion U One can then build an Effective Heisenberg Hamiltonian : $H = J \sum S_i S_j$ In oxides indirect coupling between two magnetic ions will depend on the geometry of the M-O-M bond (i.e. orbital overlaps).





Magnetic band structure



$$\Delta m = 2\mu_B \mathcal{N}(E_F) \delta E$$

$\Delta m = 2\mu_B \mathcal{N}(E_F) \delta E$

If δE is created by applying a magnetic field H_0 then the magnetic susceptibility is :

$$\chi = \frac{\Delta m}{H} = 2\mu_0 \mu_B^2 \mathcal{N}(E_F)$$

Such a susceptibility does not depend on temperature but on the density of conduction electrons (Pauli paramagnetism).

A spin-dependent electron interaction can also create δE .

If the interaction gain is larger than the kinetic energy loss then the metal is magnetic.

Band structure : nickel

Band ferromagnetism creates a non integer magnetic moment.



Indirect echange coupling in a metallic system : RKKY interaction spin-polarised conduction band will propagate the spin information to a second spin or layer through a non magnetic medium.

$$J = \frac{\cos(2.k_F.r)}{r^3}$$

oscillating coupling through a metallic spacer. lengthscale k_F finite, discrete thickness effect, period becomes a few monolayers.

Interlayer coupling : RKKY



Oscilating spin polarisation will create undirect exchange between spins.

It can lead to noncolinear orders. It is the exchange mechanism in metallic rare-earths.

Used also to create artifical antiferromagnet : Co/Ru/Co

Independent localised spins at temperature T (Brillouin paramagnetism)

When applying a magnetic field, the probability to fill the different magnetic states follows a Maxwell distribution given by the ratio Zeeman energy/Thermal energy

$$M = M_{sat}B_J(x)$$
 avec $M_{sat} = Ng_J J\mu_B$ and $x = rac{g_J J\mu_0 \mu_B H}{kT}$

$$B_J(x) = \frac{2J+1}{2J} \operatorname{coth}\left(\frac{2J+1}{2J}x\right) - \frac{1}{2J} \operatorname{coth}\frac{x}{2J}$$

Brillouin function

Mean Field Model : Brillouin Paramagnetism



$$\chi = \frac{M}{H} = \frac{C}{T}$$
$$\frac{m}{H_0} = Ng_J^2 J(J+1) \frac{\mu_B^2 \mu_0}{3kT}$$

 H_0 H_0 3kTCurie law, C is the Curie constant

Laurent Ranno Institut Néel CNRS-Univ. Grenoble Alpes

 $\chi =$

With interactions : The interaction from the other atomic moments is proportional to their magnetisation mean value.

$$\vec{H}_m = \lambda \cdot \langle S \rangle$$

and $x = \frac{g_J J \mu_0 \mu_B H_i}{kT}$ with $H_i = H + H_m$
so $x = \frac{g_J J \mu_0 \mu_B (H + \lambda M)}{kT}$

At fixed temperature T and field H , M(H,T) is given by the simultaneaous resolution of both equations :

$$M = M_{sat}B_J(x) \tag{1}$$

$$M = \frac{kT}{\lambda g_J J \mu_0 \mu_B} x - \frac{H}{\lambda}$$
(2)

Graphical resolution

When H = 0, the line goes through zero. Depending on T $(T < T_{critical})$ it allows to get a spontaneous magnetisation and a ferromagnetic order



Laurent Ranno Institut Néel CNRS-Univ. Grenoble Alpes Quick reminder about magnetism

Identifying initial slopes, one gets T_c

$$T_c = \lambda C$$

 T_c is proportional to λ and to Curie constant C When T=0 one gets the saturation magnetisation

$$M_{sp}(T=0) = M_{sat} = Ng_J J \mu_B$$

When H is not zero, even at high T, there is a non zero magnetisation. Susceptibiliy is χ

$$\chi = \frac{M}{H} = \frac{C}{T - T_c}$$

Curie-Weiss law

In this mean field model, $T_c = \theta_p$

Close to Tc



Mean field models do not work close to transiton T_c (no

Spontaneous Magnetisation



Non equivalent sublattices may exist, competing exchange interactions, non collinear ones ...

Antiferromagnetism : 2 identical lattices antiferromagnetically coupled. No net M but AF long range order up to the Néel Temp. Ferrimagnetism : 2 (or more) non equivalent lattices, AF-coupled Commensurate, non-commensurate, frustrated orders, helicoïdal, cycloïdal, multi-q orders are observed in more complex systems. Excitations can disorder the magnetic saturated state (similar to phonon disordering the periodic crystal lattice).

These excitations can be modelled as spin waves / magnons and measured using neutron inelastic diffusion for example



Landau-Lifschitz-Gilbert equation

$$\frac{d\mathbf{M}}{dt} = \gamma \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{H}_{eff} + \alpha \mathbf{M} \times (\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{H}_{eff})$$
(3)

 γ the gyromagnetic ratio (1 T = 30 GHz precession) α the damping constant H_{eff} the effective field :

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{eff} = -\frac{1}{\mu_0} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{E}}{\partial \boldsymbol{M}}$$

The effective field includes contributions from the applied field (Zeeman energy), the demagnetizing field (shape anisotropy), magnetocrystalline and exchange energies. Electron magnetism can be studied using these GHz spectroscopies : EPR Electron Paramagnetic Resonance, FMR FerroMagnetic Resonance (NMR nuclear magnetism is MHz spectroscopy)

More details about the ferromagnetic state : Micromagnetism

For a given sample, its magnetic configuration (\vec{M} distribution) depends on the minimisation of the total energy which contains 4 main contributions.

- Exchange energy
- Zeeman energy
- Magnetic Anisotropy energy
- Demagnetising energy

Lengthscale : domain walls

At remanence (Zero applied field),

A sample will demagnetise due to demagnetising field



Here GdCo film (perpendicular anisotropy) Kerr images

Laurent Ranno Institut Néel CNRS-Univ. Grenoble Alpes Quick reminder about magnetism

magnetisation reversal



out of equilibrium state hysteretic in most cases

characteristic fields and magnetisation remanent magnetisation Mr, spontaneous magnetisation Ms, coercive field Hc

Applied magnetic field H_a vs total magnetic field $\vec{H} = \vec{H}_a + \vec{H}_d$

magnetisation reversal : uniform



Stoner-Wohlfahrt astroïd

Brown's paradox : M reversal happens very often under applied field (Hc) much smaller than the anisotropy field. How to overcome the anisotropy barrier ?



nucleation + propagation of a domain wall

Lengthscale : domain wall width

Between domains : a domain wall

Competition between anisotropy energy and exchange energy



domain wall width δ and wall energy γ

$$\delta(\mathsf{m}) = \pi \sqrt{\frac{A}{K}} \text{ and } \gamma(\mathsf{J}/\mathsf{m}^2) = 4\sqrt{AK}$$
 (4)

Large anisotropy \Rightarrow narrow domain wall (down to a few nm) Small anisotropy \Rightarrow wide domain walls (up to mm)

Interlayer coupling : Orange peel



Coupling may still exit in "uncoupled" system orange peel=magnetostatic, pinholes

Units

B,H,M,m B magnetic induction (Tesla) H magnetic field (A/m)

$$ec{B}=\mu_0(ec{H}+ec{M})$$

m = magnetic moment (Am2)

M = Magnetisation = magnetic moment / unit volume (A/m)

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Maxwell equations}\\ \mbox{div } B = 0\\ \mbox{rot } H = j + dD/dt \end{array}$

S.I. vs c.g.s 1 Tesla = 10 000 Gauss

in vacuum :

B=1 Gauss corresponds to H=1 Oersted

$$\vec{B} = \mu_0 \bar{H}$$

Laurent Ranno Institut Néel CNRS-Univ. Grenoble Alpes