

A light for Science

Hercules Specialised Courses Neutrons and Synchrotron Radiation for Magnetism

Grenoble, 14-18 September 2015

WHAT DO WE SEE WITH X-RAYS IN MAGNETISM

Luigi Paolasini paolasini@esrf.fr

European Synchrotron Radiation Facility



OUTLINES

- 1. Introduction: synchrotron radiation and magnetism
- 2. Basic photon-matter interaction: absorption and scattering
- 3. Theory of electron-photon interaction

classical, semi-classical and relativistic

- 4. Magnetic and Resonant exchange scattering
- 5. Examples of applications

Books:

"Synchrotron Radiation: Basics, Methods and Applications", Ed. Mobilio, Boschierini, Meneghini, Sringer-Heidelberg 2015 Jens Als-Nielsen and Des McMorrow, "Elements of Modern X-ray Physics", Second edition, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd Publication, (2011).



INGREDIENTS FOR MAGNETISM

 d_{v}, d_{v}

tetragonal field



Mn3+ 3d4

4444

free atom

Δcf

cubic field

- Coulomb repulsion vs hopping transfer
- Breaking of Hund rules
- Quenching of orbital momentum
- Magnetic anisotropy
- ex. Transition metal oxides

Inter atomic magnetic interactions induce long range order

- Isotropic exchange H=J S'S (Heisenberg)
- Anisotropic exchange H=**S** <u>J</u> **S** (Dzyaloshinsky-Moriya)
- Super-exchange and double-exchange
- Itinerant exchange (RKKY)





SCATTERING EXPERIMENTS

Determination of temporal evolution of the physical state of a large number of particle at the thermal equilibrium.

Measurement of the probe dynamical variables $N_{\rm i}$ and $N_{\rm f}$ after the interaction with the sample

	(A)	Magnetic probeEnergy $E_{Ni} => E_{nf}$ Momentum $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}^{2}$	
E_{Ni} $ N_i\rangle = \mathbf{k}, \sigma\rangle$	E_{Nf} $ N_c\rangle = \mathbf{k}', \sigma'\rangle$	Spin	σ => σ'
$\rightarrow M P \rightarrow ($	$S \rightarrow S_f>$	Sample Transition	S _i > => S _f >
$\mathbf{M} = $ Monochromator $\mathbf{P} = $ Polarizer	A = Analyzer D = Detector	Energy	E _{Si} => E _{Sf}

Fermi's Golden rule

Transition probability per unit time of from an initial $|S_i, N_i|$ and a final state $\langle S_f, N_f|$ of the |sample+probe> system, related to the interaction potential V:

$$W_{N_{i}S_{i}N_{f}S_{f}} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \sum_{S_{i}S_{f}} |\langle S_{f}N_{f}|V|S_{i}N_{i}\rangle|^{2} \,\delta(E_{S_{i}} + E_{N_{i}} - E_{S_{f}} - E_{N_{f}})$$



In scattering experiments we are interested in the changes of **the probe states** N_i and N_f :

 $\mathcal{V} = \langle N_f | V | N_i
angle$ Matrix elements of interaction potential V

The probability per unit time that the probe undergoes a transition from the initial state $|N_i>$ to the final state $<N_f|$ is obtained from the previous equation by averaging over the thermal distribution of the sample initial states $|S_i>$ and by summing over all the possible sample final states $<S_f|$:

$$\begin{split} W_{N_iN_f} &= \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \sum_{S_iS_f} p(S_i) \left| \langle S_f | \mathcal{V} | S_i \rangle \right|^2 \delta(E_{S_i} - E_{S_f} + \hbar \omega) \\ p(S_i) &= \frac{1}{Z_S} exp(-\frac{E_{S_i}}{K_BT}) \end{split} \qquad \begin{aligned} Z_S &= \sum_{S_i} exp(-\frac{E_{S_i}}{K_BT}) \end{aligned} \qquad Partition function \end{split}$$

This expression relates the change of the state of the probe with the time-evolution of the sample state



SCATTERING CROSS SECTION

Measurable quantity in the scattering experiments The energy of the probe is comparable with the sample eigenstates

Partial differential scattering cross-section

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{d\Omega dE} = \frac{N_{E_f}(\theta,\phi)}{\Omega_0 \ d\Omega dE}$$

Ratio between the number of scattered particles with energies E_f in the solid angle $\Delta\Omega(\theta, \phi)$ and the incident flux density Ω_0 per unit of solid angle $d\Omega$ and energy dE

Differential scattering cross-section

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\sigma,\lambda\to\sigma',\lambda'} = \frac{1}{\Omega_0} \frac{1}{d\Omega} \sum_{k'\in d\Omega} W_{{\bf k},\sigma,\lambda\to{\bf k}'\sigma',\lambda'}$$

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k}'\in d\Omega} W_{\mathbf{k},\sigma,\lambda\to\mathbf{k}'\sigma',\lambda'} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar^2} \rho_{\mathbf{k}'} \langle \mathbf{k}'\sigma'\lambda' | \mathcal{V} | \mathbf{k}\sigma\lambda \rangle$$

Total scattering cross-section

$$\sigma_{tot} = \int \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right) d\Omega = 4\pi \int_0^\pi \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right) \sin(2\theta) d\theta$$



6



MAGNETIC PROBES

A light for Science

Neutron and x-ray magnetic scattering are a powerfull probe to study the magnetism in condensed matter.



Neutron scattering (Cold-Thermal)

- Bulk sensitivity (low absorp., ~10 cm)
- Amplitudes: Nuclear/Magnetic ~ 1
- High E-resolution
- Unpolarized source
- Soft interaction neutron-sample
- Well established sample environment

X-ray scattering (3-30 keV)

- Surface sensitivity (high absorp., ~10 μ m)
- Amplitudes: Charge/Magnetic ~ 10⁵
- High Q-resolution
- Polarized source
- Easy Focusing
- Hard probe (T-heating, sample damage)...



Electromagnetic radiation emitted when charge particles moving at ultra-relativistic energies are forced to change direction under the action of a magnetic field.

 $E > m_0 c^2 \sim 0.511 \text{ MeV}$

 $\gamma = E/m_0 c^2$ $\gamma \sim 1957 E[GeV]$

Classical emission $v_e << c$ Lorenz Force F=q (E+vxB) Relativistic emission when v_e~ c Forward direction emittance cone 1/y~1mrad



Notice: 1mrad~0.057 deg!





The Synchrotron is a "storage ring" where the **electrons** are first accelerated by a booster at high energies and then constrained on a circular orbit.

The electrons trajectory is modulated by a spatial periodic magnetic field (undulators). The radiation emitted by a given electron at one oscillation is in phase with the radiation from the following oscillations.



ESRF:

Energy: 6.02 GeV Circumference: 844 m Maximum current: 200 mAmp



ESRF

BRILLANCE OF THE SYNCHROTRON SOURCES A Light for Science





THE ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELD

The electromagnetic field generated by the electrons is described by the electric **E** and magnetic **B** in term of scalar Φ and vector **A** potential:

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$$

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla \Phi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t}$$

$$Maxwell equations$$

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_0 e^{i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t)}$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}_0 e^{i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t)}$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_0 e^{i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t)}$$

The synchrotron radiation delivered by insertion devices is a polarized electromagnetic wave with polarization vector ε parallel to the electric field **E** and lying in the synchrotron orbit plane.

```
Energy
□ [[keV] □= ħω=hc/λ = 12.398 / λ [Å]
```

Spectral intensity $I_0(\omega) = \langle E_0^2 \rangle = N(\omega) \hbar \omega$

ex. 1 Å = 12.398 keV





X-RAYS / MATTER INTERACTIONS

Absorption processes Fluorescence Photo-electron Auger emission electrons hv_F Ee EA attenuated outgoing beam Flastic Inelastic scattering scattering hv hv' Scattering processes

Photon absorption : Photon scattering :

hv

incoming beam

Excitation with or without emission of electrons

Elastic Inelastic Resonant

- => Thomson and magnetic
- => Compton (Raman)
- => elastic or inelastic

A light for Science

ESRF

X-RAYS SCATTERING: FREE ELECTRONS

- Hypotesis: electron are at rest
- The electric field E_{in} of the incident x-rays act as a force F=Eq
- The electron accelerates and radiates a spherical wave E_{rad}

Istantaneous radiated spherical field E_{rad} :

- proportional to the electron acceleration
- anti-phase with respect E_{in}
- decreases with $cos(\psi)$

$$\frac{\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{rad}}(R,t)}{\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{in}}} = -\left(\frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 mc^2}\right)\frac{\mathrm{e}^{i\mathbf{k}R}}{R}\cos\psi$$

Thomson scattering length:

$$r_0 = \left(\frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 mc^2}\right) = 2.82\times 10^{-5}~\text{\AA}$$

m = the electron mass e= electron charge





POLARIZATION DEPENDENCE OF THOMSON SCATTERING

The differential cross section for the Thomson scattering depends from the incident and scattered photon polarizations

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right) = r_0^2 \left|\hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}'\right|^2 \quad P = \left|\hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}'\right|^2 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{synchrotron: vertical scattering plane} \\ \cos^2 \psi & \text{synchrotron: horizontal scattering plane} \\ \frac{1}{2}\left(1 + \cos^2 \psi\right) & \text{unpolarized source} \end{cases}$$



European Synchrotron Radiation Facility

INELASTIC SCATTERING BY FREE ELECTRONS

Compton scattering:

Inelastic collision between a photon and an electron at the rest in which part of the the photon energy is transferred to the electron. This scattering is **incoherent!**

$$\lambda' = \lambda + \frac{h}{m_e c^2} (1 - \cos \psi) = \lambda + \lambda_c (1 - \cos \psi)$$

$$\lambda_c = \frac{h}{m_e c^2} = 0.0243 \quad \text{\AA}$$



ABSORPTION/EMISSION PROCESSES

Absorption and emission processes are tools for basic analysis of the electronic structure of atom, molecules and solids over different energy scales.

Photo-electric absorption

Photon absorbed and electron emitted in the continuum



A Light for Science

Fluorescent emission

An electron from the outer shell fill the hole and emit a photon



Auger electron emission

The atom relax into the ground state by emitting an electron





X-RAY ABSORPTION EDGES

A light for Science

X-rays energies are able to extract atomic electrons from the atomic core! The **element-specific** energies of the discontinuous jumps in the x-rays absorption spectra are called absorption edges.



BOUND ELECTRONS AND DISPERSION CORRECTIONS A Light for Science

Because the electrons are bound in atoms with discrete energies, a more elaborate model than that of a cloud of free electrons must be invoked.

The scattering amplitude includes two energy dependent term $f'(\omega)$ and $f''(\omega)$ which are called "dispersion corrections".

$$f(\mathbf{Q}, \omega) = f^{0}(\mathbf{Q}) + f'(\omega) + i f''(\omega)$$

The dispersion corrections are derived by treating atomic electrons as harmonic oscillators. The absorption cross section σ_a is a superposition of oscillators with relative weights, so-called oscillator strengths, $g(\omega_s)$, proportional to $\sigma_a(\omega = \omega_s)$.



BOUND ELECTRONS: RESONANT OSCILLATION AL

The electron be subject to the electric field E_{in} of an incident X-ray beam and to a damping term proportional to the electron velocity $\Gamma \dot{x}$ which represents dissipation of energy.



European Synchrotron Radiation Facility



REFRACTION: VISIBLE LIGHT VS X-RAYS

Snell law: $n_1 cos\alpha = n_2 cos\alpha'$

Visible light



Optic lenses









X-rays lenses



Refraction index for X-rays:

n=1-δ+iβ

 $δ(air)~10^{-8}$ $δ(solids)~10^{-5}$ $β~10^{-8} << δ$



European Synchrotron Radiation Facility

L. Paolasini - HSC18- 14 Sept. 20205



CROSS SECTIONS





MAGNETIC INTERACTION

The magnetic interaction is a relativistic correction to the Thomson scattering

... a classical interpretation from de Bergevin and Brunel (Acta Cryst, 1981)





A light for Science





Matter is described by a wavefunction Ψ solution of **Schrodinger equation**:

 $H \Psi = E \Psi$ $\Psi(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n; R_1, R_2, \dots, R_N)$

r, electron positions R, Nuclei positions

1) Born-Oppenheimer approximation:

Nuclei at the rest position R_i⁰

An effective Hamiltonian H_{eff} describes the attractive potential of ions on the electrons

2) Mean field approximation:

Electrons move independently in the mean field created by the other electrons No electron correlation effects and Ψ depends only from \mathbf{r}_i

3) One electron approximation:

The wavefunction of the electron r_n can be single out

The effective Hamiltonian depends only from the coordinate of this electron

$$\Psi(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n; R_1^0, R_2^0, \dots, R_N^0) \sim \Psi(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{n-1}; R_1^0, R_2^0, \dots, R_N^0) \psi(r_n)$$

 $H_{eff}(\mathbf{r}_n) \psi(r_n) = E \psi(r_n)$

SEMI-CLASSICAL DESCRIPTION OF INTERACTION A Light for Science

Matter is treated as a quantum mechanical system and the radiation as a classical field

 Interaction occurs mainly with the electrons we considers only the electronic transitions we suppose to know their eigenstates and the eigenfunctions
 The system is composed mainly by N identical microscopic entities

Atoms, molecules, clusters ...

3) The electromagnetic wave acts as a time-dependent perturbation modify the electron wavefunction transitions between eigenstates

FERMI GOLDEN RULE (second order)

Transition rate W_{fi} per unit of volume between an initial $|\Psi_i\rangle$ and a final $\langle\Psi_f|$ unperturbed eigenstate

$$W_{fi} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \left| \langle \Psi_f | H_{int} | \Psi_i \rangle + \sum_k \frac{\langle \Psi_f | H_{int} | \Psi_k \rangle \langle \Psi_k | H_{int} | \Psi_i \rangle}{E_i - E_k - \hbar \omega} \right|^2 \delta(E_f - E_i - \hbar \omega)$$

$$H_{int} = \sum_{j} \left(-\frac{e}{mc} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_j) \cdot \mathbf{p}_j + \frac{e^2}{2mc^2} A^2(\mathbf{r}_j) \right)$$

Classical limit of Dirac equation (non-relativistic behaviour of electrons in an electromagnetic field)

SEMI-CLASSICAL: ABSORPTION AND SCATTERING A Light for Science

The semi-classical description of the interaction assumes a plane wave perturbation:

1) Absorption processes (non-relativistic quantum description) depends from the first order term in the potential vector A

$$W_{fi}^{abs} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \left| \langle \Psi_f | - \frac{e}{mc} \sum_j \mathbf{A}_k(r_j) e^{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}_j} \cdot \mathbf{p}_j | \Psi_i \rangle \right|^2 \delta(E_f - E_i - \hbar\omega)$$

$$\mu = -\frac{1}{I}\frac{dI}{dx} = \sum_{f} \frac{2\pi c}{\omega^2 A_k^2} N\hbar \omega W_{fi}^{abs}$$

2) Scattering processes (non-relativistic quantum description) local current density $\mathbf{j}_{nm}(\mathbf{r},t)$ of the electron in the state $\Psi(\mathbf{r},t)$ the time-dependent current emits electromagnetic waves

$$\mathbf{j}_{nm} = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{j} (\Psi_n^* \hat{p}_j \Psi_m - \Psi_n \hat{p}_j \Psi_m^*) - \sum_{j} \frac{eA(r_j, t)}{2m} (\Psi_n^* \Psi_m + \Psi_n \Psi_m^*)$$

Current density matrix elements

Modification of electron momentum due to E.M. field

Elastic scattering (Thomson): diagonal elements of current operators Inelastic scattering (Compton): non-diagonal elements

THOMSON AND COMPTON SCATTERING

From the semi-classical approach we consider a plane wave perturbation:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{j}_{nm} &= -\sum_{j} \frac{e\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_{j},t)}{2m} (\Psi_{n}^{*}\Psi_{m} + \Psi_{n}\Psi_{m}^{*}) \\ \mathbf{j}_{nm} &= -\sum_{j} \frac{e\mathbf{A}_{k}}{2m} e^{\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{j}} (\psi_{n}^{*}\psi_{m}e^{(\omega_{m}-\omega_{n}-\omega)t} + \psi_{n}\psi_{m}^{*}e^{(\omega_{m}-\omega_{n}-\omega)t}) \\ \omega_{m} &= \omega_{n} \\ \mathbf{D}_{m} &= \mathbf{D}_{n} \\ \mathbf{D}_{m} &= \mathbf{D}_{m} \\ \mathbf{D}_{m} \\ \mathbf{D}_{m} &= \mathbf{D}_{m} \\ \mathbf{D}_{m} &= \mathbf{D}_{m} \\ \mathbf{D}_{m} \\ \mathbf{D}_{m} &= \mathbf{D}_{m} \\ \mathbf{D$$

Notice that in the limit of high energies the sum of elastic and inelastic cross sections is equal to the classical Thomson cross section of Z point free electrons.



For a complete description of the x-ray-matter interaction we need to consider the **relativistic motion of the electrons in a quantized electromagnetic field**.

The "second quantization" describes the EM field as photon states with an occupation number "n", wavevector **k** and polarization " ϵ ",

 $|n_{k_1,\epsilon_1};...n_{k,\epsilon};...n_{k_t,\epsilon_t}\rangle$

and the creation and annihilation operators, " a^{\dagger} " and "a" defined as:

$$a_{k,\epsilon}^{\dagger} | n_{k_{1},\epsilon_{1}}; ... n_{k,\epsilon}; ... n_{k_{t},\epsilon_{t}} \rangle = \sqrt{n_{k,\epsilon} + 1} | n_{k_{1},\epsilon_{1}}; ... n_{k,\epsilon} + 1; ... n_{k_{t},\epsilon_{t}} \rangle$$
$$a_{k,\epsilon} | n_{k_{1},\epsilon_{1}}; ... n_{k,\epsilon}; ... n_{k_{t},\epsilon_{t}} \rangle = \sqrt{n_{k,\epsilon}} | n_{k_{1},\epsilon_{1}}; ... n_{k,\epsilon} - 1; ... n_{k_{t},\epsilon_{t}} \rangle$$

With this assumptions, the harmonic components of an EM field is decomposed in a sum of quantized oscillators. The vector potential **A** then became an operator:

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r},t) = \sum_{k,\epsilon} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi\hbar c^2}{2V\omega_k}} \left(a_{k,\epsilon}\hat{\epsilon}_{k,\epsilon}e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}-i\omega t} + a_{k,\epsilon}^{\dagger}\hat{\epsilon}_{k,\epsilon}^*e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}-i\omega t} \right)$$

European Synchrotron Radiation Facility



The interaction Hamiltonian is obtained from the **Dirac equation** in the limit of low velocities and taking the terms $_{0}(v/c)$.

Relativistic terms

$$\hat{H}_{int} = \sum_{j} \left(-\frac{e}{mc} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_{j}) \cdot \mathbf{p}_{j} + \frac{e^{2}}{2mc^{2}} \mathbf{A}^{2}(\mathbf{r}_{j}) - \frac{e\hbar}{mc} \mathbf{s}_{j} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{A} - \frac{e\hbar}{2m^{2}c^{3}} \mathbf{s}_{j} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} \times \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} \right)$$

Zeeman term:

Interaction of electron spins s_j with the magnetic field ${f B}$

Spin orbit interaction: interaction between the spin S and the orbital part L of the electron's wave functions



The mutual interaction between spin magnetic moment μ and magnetic field **B**^p is generated by the positive charge of the nucleus rotating around the electron rest frame:

$$H_{so} = -\frac{1}{2} \ \mu \cdot \mathbf{B}^p = \frac{e\hbar^2}{2m_e c^2 r} \frac{dV(r)}{dr} \ \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S} = \lambda \ \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S}$$



RELATIVISTIC SCATTERING THEORY

Non-interacting electrons H_{el}

$$\mathcal{H}_{el} = \sum_{j} \frac{1}{2m} \mathbf{P}_{j}^{2} + \sum_{ij} V(r_{ij}) + \frac{e\hbar}{2(mc)^{2}} \sum_{j} \mathbf{s}_{j} \cdot (\nabla \Phi_{j} \times \mathbf{P}_{j}),$$

Non-interacting photons H_{ph}

$$H_{phot} = \sum_{\mathbf{k},\epsilon} \hbar \omega (a_{k,\epsilon}^{\dagger} a_{k,\epsilon} + \frac{1}{2})$$

Interaction term H'

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}' &= \mathcal{H}'_{1} + \mathcal{H}'_{2} + \mathcal{H}'_{3} + \mathcal{H}'_{4} \\ &= \frac{e^{2}}{2mc^{2}} \sum_{j} \mathbf{A}^{2}(\mathbf{r}_{j}) \\ &- \frac{e}{mc} \sum_{j} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_{j}) \cdot \mathbf{P}_{j} \\ &- \frac{e\hbar}{mc} \sum_{j} \mathbf{s}_{j} \cdot [\nabla \times \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_{j})] \\ &- \frac{e\hbar}{mc} \sum_{j} \mathbf{s}_{j} \cdot [\nabla \times \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_{j})] \\ &- \frac{e\hbar}{2(mc)^{2}} \frac{e}{c^{2}} \sum_{j} \mathbf{s}_{j} \cdot [\dot{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{r}_{j}) \times \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_{j})]. \end{aligned}$$

European Synchrotron Radiation Facility



Absorption processes	=> must contain only the photon annihilation operator $a_{k,s}$ we need consider only the linear terms in the A
Scattering processes	=> involve both the photon operators a_{ks}^{\dagger} and a_{ks}^{\dagger} only the quadratic terms A^2 are retained

Ex. : Elastic scattering processes:

conserves the number of photons. A(r) is linear in a_{ks}^{\dagger} and $a_{ks}^{}$ -1st order perturbation: QUADRATIC terms in A(r) (H'₁ and H'₄) - 2nd order perturbation: LINEAR terms in A(r) (H'₂ and H'₃)

Fermi's Golden rule:

$$W = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle a ; \mathbf{k}' \epsilon' | H_1' + H_4' | a ; \mathbf{k} \epsilon \rangle \leftarrow 1^{st} \text{ order}$$
$$+ \sum_n \frac{\langle a ; \mathbf{k}' \epsilon' | H_2' + H_3' | n \rangle \langle n | H_2' + H_3' | a ; \mathbf{k} \epsilon \rangle}{E_a + \hbar \omega_k - E_n} \Big|^2 \leftarrow 2^{nd} \text{ order}$$
$$|i\rangle = |a; \mathbf{k}, \epsilon\rangle, |f\rangle = |b; \mathbf{k}', \epsilon'\rangle$$



X-RAYS SCATTERING AMPLITUDES

M. Blume, J. Appl. Phys. 57, 3615 (1985) M. Blume, "Resonant anomalous x-ray scattering", ed. G. Materlik, (1994). $f_0(\mathbf{Q}, \hat{\epsilon}, \hat{\epsilon}') = \langle a \mid \sum_i e^{i\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{r}_j} \mid a \rangle \hat{\epsilon}' \cdot \hat{\epsilon}.$ Thomson Charge density $f^{magn.}(\mathbf{Q}) = -i \frac{\hbar \omega_k}{mc^2} \left(\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{Q}) \cdot \mathbf{P}_L + \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{Q}) \cdot \mathbf{P}_S \right)$ Non-resonant magnetic Orbital and spin separation Magnetization density Polarization dependent terms $\mathbf{P}_{L} = -\sin^{2}\theta \left[\mathbf{Q} \times \left[\left(\hat{\epsilon}^{\prime *} \times \hat{\epsilon}\right) \times \mathbf{Q}\right]\right]$ $\mathbf{P}_{S} = \hat{\epsilon}^{\prime *} \times \hat{\epsilon} + (\hat{\mathbf{k}}^{\prime} \times \hat{\epsilon}^{\prime *})(\hat{\mathbf{k}}^{\prime} \cdot \hat{\epsilon}) - (\hat{\mathbf{k}} \times \hat{\epsilon})(\hat{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \hat{\epsilon}^{\prime *}) - (\hat{\mathbf{k}}^{\prime} \times \hat{\epsilon}^{\prime *}) \times (\hat{\mathbf{k}} \times \hat{\epsilon})$ $f^{RXS} = +\frac{1}{m} \sum_{c} \frac{E_g - E_c}{\hbar\omega_k} \left(\frac{\hat{\epsilon}'^* \cdot \langle g | \ O^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}') \ |c\rangle \ \langle c | \ O(\mathbf{k}) \ |g\rangle \cdot \hat{\epsilon}}{E_g - E_c + \hbar\omega_k - i\Gamma_c/2} \right)$ Resonant terms Core-hole virtual transition **Tensorial amplitudes** $-\frac{\hat{\epsilon} \cdot \langle g \mid \tilde{O}(\mathbf{k}) \mid c \rangle \langle c \mid \tilde{O}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}') \mid g \rangle \cdot \hat{\epsilon}'^{*}}{E_{g} - E_{c} - \hbar \omega_{k}} \bigg)$ High order multipoles Current operators J(k) $\hat{O}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{i} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{j}} \left[\hat{\epsilon}\cdot\mathbf{P}_{j} - i\hbar(\mathbf{k}\times\hat{\epsilon})\cdot\mathbf{s}_{j} \right]$ $\hat{O}^{+}(\mathbf{k}') = \sum_{i} e^{-i\mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{r}_{j}} \left[\hat{\epsilon}'\cdot\mathbf{P}_{j} + i\hbar(\mathbf{k}'\times\hat{\epsilon}')\cdot\mathbf{s}_{j} \right]$

European Synchrotron Radiation Facility

L. Paolasini - HSC18- 14 Sept. 2015 3

32



European Synchrotron Radiation Facility

X-RAYS SCATTERING INTENSITIES

High-Q quality samples are required to detect the weak magnetic reflections



European Synchrotron Radiation Facility



X-RAYS POLARIZATION ANALYSIS AND CONTROL A Light for Science



X-rays Polarization analyser

- Thomson selection rules ($\epsilon \cdot \epsilon'$)
- Bragg diffraction by a crystal analyzer $2\theta_{p} \sim 90^{\circ}$
- η = rotation about scattered wavevector k'





Phase plate retarder

Phase shift $\Delta \alpha$ between the transmitted and incident beam in the dynamical diffraction limit

$$\Delta \alpha = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} (n_{\sigma} - n_{\pi})d = -\frac{\pi}{2} \left[\frac{r_e^2 \lambda^3 Re(F_h F_{\bar{h}}) sin 2\theta_{pp}}{\pi^2 V^2 \Delta \theta_{pp}} \right] d$$

Half wave plate mode ($\Delta \alpha = \pi$) - Rotation of 90° of liner polarization (when χ =45°)

Quarter wave plate mode ($\Delta \alpha = \pi/2$) -Circular left/right polarizations (~98-99%)

Linear Polarization Scan ($\Delta \alpha = \pi$) -Continues rotation of χ







HIGH-Q RESOLUTION DIFFRACTION

The high-Q resolution allow the separation of crystallographic and magnetic reflections.

Ex. Charge and antiferromagnetic Bragg reflections in Ce_{0.93}Co_{0.07}Fe₂

Thomson scattering







$$f^{magn.}(\mathbf{Q}) = -i \frac{\hbar \omega_k}{mc^2} (\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{Q}) \cdot \mathbf{P}_L + \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{Q}) \cdot \mathbf{P}_S)$$

• Jones's matrices for NRMS:

$$f_{mag}^{non-res} = -i\frac{\hbar\omega}{mc^2} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma - \sigma' & \pi - \sigma' \\ M_{\sigma\sigma} & M_{\pi\sigma} \\ M_{\sigma\pi} & M_{\pi\pi} \\ \sigma - \pi' & \pi - \pi' \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & & \\ &$$

$$M_{\sigma\sigma} = S_2 \sin 2\theta$$

$$M_{\pi\sigma} = -2 \sin^2 \theta \left[(\cos \theta) \left(L_1 + S_1 \right) - S_3 \sin \theta \right]$$

$$M_{\sigma\pi} = 2 \sin^2 \theta \left[\cos \theta \left(L_1 + S_1 \right) + S_3 \sin \theta \right]$$

$$M_{\pi\pi} = \sin 2\theta \left[2L_2 \sin^2 \theta + S_2 \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_1 &= (\hat{\mathbf{k}} + \hat{\mathbf{k}}')/2\cos\theta \\ \hat{\mathbf{u}}_2 &= (\hat{\mathbf{k}} \times \hat{\mathbf{k}}')/\sin 2\theta \\ \hat{\mathbf{u}}_3 &= (\hat{\mathbf{k}} - \hat{\mathbf{k}}')/2\sin\theta \end{aligned}$$

$$S_i = \frac{f_s(Q)\mu_s^i}{g_s\mu_B}$$
$$L_i = \frac{f_l(Q)\mu_l^i}{g_l\mu_B}$$

Fourier transforms of spin and orbital magnetization densities

AZIMUTHAL LINEAR X-RAY POLARIMETRY



European Synchrotron Radiation Facility

L. Paolasini - HSC18- 14 Sept. 2015 38



RESONANT X-RAY SCATTERING

$$f^{RXS} \approx -\frac{1}{m} \sum_{c} \frac{E_g - E_c}{\hbar \omega_k} \cdot \frac{\langle g | \sum_{j} e^{-i\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{r}_j} \hat{\epsilon}'^* \cdot \mathbf{p}_j | c \rangle \langle c | \sum_{j} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}_j} \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \mathbf{p}_j | g \rangle}{E_g - E_c + \hbar \omega_k - i\Gamma_c/2}$$

- Enhancement of scattering amplitude near absorption edge
- Excitation of a inner-shell electron into an empty valence state
- Sensitivity to the local degeneration of valence-electron states
- Local symmetries of bound electrons
- Tensorial structure factor
- Forbidden lattice reflections
- Polarization effects (links with magneto-optics)
- Mixing diffraction and atomic spectroscopy

E1 = Electric dipole transitions (L=1) E2 = Electric quadrupole transitions (L=2)



RESONANT MAGNETIC X-RAY SCATTERING AMPLITUDES

J.P. Hannon, G.T. Trammel, M. Blume, and D. Gibbs, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61 (1988) 1245;62 (1989) 263 (E).

- Expansion of spatial part of vector potential $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r})$ in spherical harmonics Y_{LM}
- Spherical symmetry SU(2) broken by an axial vector
- Cubic and centro-symmetric local symmetries

$$f^{RXS} = \sum_{L,M} F_{LM}(\hbar\omega_k) \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\epsilon}' \cdot \mathbf{Y}_{L,M}^{(e)}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}') \mathbf{Y}_{L,M}^{*(e)}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) \cdot \hat{\epsilon} \end{bmatrix}$$
Resonant strength
Geometrical and
polarization dependence
$$F_{LM}(\hbar\omega_k) = \sum_{a,c} p_a p_a(c) \frac{E_a - E_c}{\hbar\omega_k} \frac{\Gamma_x(aMc; EL)/\Gamma_c}{x+i} \qquad x = \frac{E_a - E_c + \hbar\omega_k}{\Gamma_c/2}$$

$$\Gamma_x(aMc; EL) = 2 * \frac{(4\pi)^2}{((2L+1)!!)^2} \frac{L+1}{L} mc^2 \left| \left\langle a \left| (kr)^L \mathbf{Y}_{LM}^{*}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_j) \right| c \right\rangle \right|^2 \quad \text{Matrix elements}$$

$$L=1 \implies Electric \ dipole \ E1$$

$$L=2 \implies Electric \ quadrupole \ E2$$



- Dominant terms in RXS amplitudes at $L_{2,3}$ edges of Ce:

$$f_{E1}^{res} = \left[\hat{\epsilon}' \cdot \hat{\epsilon} F_{E1}^{(0)} - i(\hat{\epsilon}' \times \hat{\epsilon}) \cdot \mathbf{z}_n F_{E1}^{(1)} + (\hat{\epsilon}' \cdot \mathbf{z}_n)(\hat{\epsilon} \cdot \mathbf{z}_n) F_{E1}^{(2)}\right]$$

$$F_{E1}^{(0)} = \frac{3}{16\pi} [F_{11} + F_{1-1}] \qquad \longleftarrow \quad Charge \ scattering$$

$$F_{E1}^{(1)} = \frac{3}{16\pi} [F_{11} - F_{1-1}] \qquad \longleftarrow \quad Magnetic \ dipole$$

$$F_{E1}^{(2)} = \frac{3}{16\pi} [2F_{10} - F_{11} - F_{1-1}] \leftarrow \quad Electric \ quadrupole$$

- Polarization dependence for magnetic dipole:
 - Horizonthal scattering geometry
 - π -incident polarization

$$\begin{array}{ll} \varepsilon_{\pi} - \varepsilon'_{\sigma} & z_{1} \cos\theta + z_{3} \sin\theta \\ \varepsilon_{\pi} - \varepsilon'_{\pi} & -z_{2} \sin2\theta \end{array}$$

 z_i magnetic dipole component along u_i





- Dominant terms in RXS amplitudes at $L_{2,3}$ edges of Ce:

$$f_{E1}^{res} = \left[\hat{\epsilon}' \cdot \hat{\epsilon} F_{E1}^{(0)} - i(\hat{\epsilon}' \times \hat{\epsilon}) \cdot \mathbf{z}_n F_{E1}^{(1)} + (\hat{\epsilon}' \cdot \mathbf{z}_n)(\hat{\epsilon} \cdot \mathbf{z}_n) F_{E1}^{(2)}\right]$$

$$F_{E1}^{(0)} = \frac{3}{16\pi} [F_{11} + F_{1-1}] \qquad \longleftarrow \quad Charge \ scattering$$

$$F_{E1}^{(1)} = \frac{3}{16\pi} [F_{11} - F_{1-1}] \qquad \longleftarrow \quad Magnetic \ dipole$$

$$F_{E1}^{(2)} = \frac{3}{16\pi} [2F_{10} - F_{11} - F_{1-1}] \leftarrow \quad Electric \ quadrupole$$

- Polarization dependence for magnetic dipole:

- Horizonthal scattering geometry
- π -incident polarization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \varepsilon_{\pi} - \varepsilon'_{\sigma} & 0\\ \varepsilon_{\pi} - \varepsilon'_{\pi} & -z_{2} \sin 2\theta \end{array}$$

 z_i magnetic dipole component along u_i





- Dominant terms in RXS amplitudes at $L_{2,3}$ edges of Ce:

$$f_{E1}^{res} = \left[\hat{\epsilon}' \cdot \hat{\epsilon} F_{E1}^{(0)} - i(\hat{\epsilon}' \times \hat{\epsilon}) \cdot \mathbf{z}_n F_{E1}^{(1)} + (\hat{\epsilon}' \cdot \mathbf{z}_n)(\hat{\epsilon} \cdot \mathbf{z}_n) F_{E1}^{(2)}\right]$$

$$F_{E1}^{(0)} = \frac{3}{16\pi} [F_{11} + F_{1-1}] \qquad \longleftarrow Charge \ scattering$$

$$F_{E1}^{(1)} = \frac{3}{16\pi} [F_{11} - F_{1-1}] \qquad \longleftarrow Magnetic \ dipole$$

$$F_{E1}^{(2)} = \frac{3}{16\pi} [2F_{10} - F_{11} - F_{1-1}] \leftarrow Electric \ quadrupole$$

- Polarization dependence for magnetic dipole:

- Horizonthal scattering geometry
- π -incident polarization

$$\epsilon_{\pi} - \epsilon'_{\sigma}$$
 $z_{3} \sin \theta$
 $\epsilon_{\pi} - \epsilon'_{\pi}$ 0

 z_i magnetic dipole component along u_i





RXS ABSORPTION EDGES ELEMENT AND SHELL SELECTIVITY

Series	Abs.	Energy	λ	Shells	Type	Resonant
	edge	(keV)	(Å)			$\operatorname{amplitude}$
3d	$L_{2,3}$	0.4-1.0	12-30	$2p \rightarrow 3d$	E1	≈ 100
	K	4.5 - 9.5	1.3 - 2.7	$1s \rightarrow 4p$	E1	≈ 0.02
				$1s \rightarrow 3d$	E2	≈ 0.01
5d	$L_{2,3}$	5.4 - 14	0.9 - 2.2	$2p \rightarrow 5d$	E1	\approx 1-10
4f	$L_{2,3}$	5.7 - 10.3	1.2 - 2.2	$2p \rightarrow 5d$	E1	≈ 0.10
				$2p \rightarrow 4f$	E2	≈ 0.05
	$M_{4,5}$	0.9-1.6	7.7–13.8	$2d \rightarrow 4f$	E1	$\approx 100-300$
5f	$\mathbf{L}_{2,3}$	17 - 21	0.6 - 0.7	$2p \rightarrow 6d$	E1	≈ 0.05
				$2p \rightarrow 4f$	E2	≈ 0.01
	$M_{4,5}$	3.5 - 4.5	2.7-6	$3d \rightarrow 5f$	E1	≈ 10.0



RXS AT M-EDGES OF ACTINIDES: CHEMICAL SELECTIVITY

A light for Science

Resonant magnetic scattering in $(U_{0.5}Np_{0.5})Ru_2Si_2$ solid solution E. Lidstrom et al. Phys. Rev. B 61, 1375 (2000)

Actinide Sample:

mounted on 2x2 mm² Ge(111) wafer volume 0.1 mm³, <u>30 μ g Np</u> Element selectivity and sublattice magnetization Np and U at M_{4.5} edges

Branching ratios between M₄-M₅ edges

Electronic ground state Exchange and spin-orbit coupling





European Synchrotron Radiation Facility

MAGNETIC STRUCTURE DETERMINATION BY RXS A Light for Science

On the magnetic ground state of $Ce(Co_xFe_{1-x})_2$

L. Paolasini, et al.[,] Phys. Rev. Lett. 90 (2003) 57201; *ibid:* Phys. Rev. B 77 (2008) 094433

- Laves Phase structure (Fd-4m)
- In pure CeFe₂ AF short range fluctuations cohexist with a nominal F state
- Co doping stabilize AF ground state

Experimental results

- Azimuthal dependence at Ce L₃-edge and at Fe K-edge
- Individual sublattice magnetization and non collinear magnetic structure of Fe
- Geometrical frustration of Fe sublattice (pyroclore sublattice)





European Synchrotron Radiation Facility

- Mott-Hubbard insulator - Model system for orbital ordering

Interplay between orbital and magnetic ordering in KCuF₃

ORBITAL AND AF MAGNETIC ORDERING

R. Caciuffo, et al., Phys. Rev. B 63 (2002) 174425; ibid. L. Paolasini, Phys. Rev. Letters 88 (2002) 106403.

Experimental results

Scientific background

- Orbital and AF order strictly related.
- OO of $d_{y^2-z^2}^2 d_{x^2-z^2}^2$ type with q_{OO} =<111>.
- ATS due to the difference in the $2p_{x(y)}$ DOS (Jahn-Teller distortion)



Violation of the extinction rules

f(N1)+f(N2)exp[iπh]



European Synchrotron Radiation Facility

A Light for Science



CHARGE ORDERING IN THIN FILMS

A light for Science

Direct observation of charge order in epitaxial NdNiO₃ films Staub U. et al., Phys. Rev. Letters 88 (2002) 126402.

- Prototype of bandwidth-controlled metal-insulator
- Metal/insulator transition T_{MI}=150-170K

Experimental results

- Strong enhancement of RXS at Ni K-edge on the forbidden charge reflection (105)
- ATS due to a charge dis-proportionation at Ni³⁺ site











MAGNETIC REFLECTIVITY

Complementary polarized neutron and resonant x-ray magnetic reflectometry

E. Kravtsov, et al., Phys. Rev B 79 (2009) 134438.

RMX reflectivity (circular polarization) Polarized neutron reflectivity

Element-specific magnetization profile in the multilayer (Fe35 Å /Gd50 Å) $_{5}$

Asymmetric termination Fe-top, Gd-bottom lead to unique low-temperature magnetic phases.

Significant twisting of Fe and Gd magnetic moments

Nonuniform distribution of vectorial magnetization within Gd layers. A





DEVELOPMENT OF HANNON'S TERMS FOR NON- CENTROSYMMETRIC SYSTEMS

P. Carra and B. T. Thole, Rev. Mod. Phys. 66, 1509 (1994)

Extension of RXS to all the local symmetries E2-E2

Interpretation of forbidden reflection of Fe_2O_3 in term of charge multipoles

I. Marri and P. Carra, Phys. Rev. B 69, 113101 (2004)

E1-E2 events for non centrosymmetric systems

Interpretation of dichroic signals (parity breaking symmetries)

$$f^{RXS} \approx m \sum_{c} \frac{(E_c - E_a)^3}{\hbar^3 \omega_k (E_a - E_c + \hbar \omega_k - i\Gamma_c/2)} \left[\sum_{\alpha\beta} \epsilon_{\alpha}^{\prime *} \epsilon_{\beta} D_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{i}{2} \sum_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \epsilon_{\alpha}^{\prime *} \epsilon_{\beta} (k_{\gamma} I_{\alpha\beta\gamma} - k_{\gamma}^{\prime} I_{\beta\alpha\gamma}^*) + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \epsilon_{\alpha}^{\prime *} \epsilon_{\beta} k_{\gamma}^{\prime} k_{\delta} Q_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \right]$$

$$D_{\alpha\beta} = \left\langle a | \sum_{j} r_{j}^{\alpha} | c \right\rangle \left\langle c | \sum_{i} r_{i}^{\beta} | a \right\rangle$$
$$I_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \left\langle a | \sum_{j} r_{j}^{\alpha} | c \right\rangle \left\langle c | \sum_{i} r_{i}^{\beta} r_{i}^{\gamma} | a \right\rangle$$
$$Q_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \left\langle a | \sum_{j} r_{j}^{\alpha} r_{j}^{\beta} | c \right\rangle \left\langle c | \sum_{i} r_{i}^{\gamma} r_{i}^{\delta} | a \right\rangle$$

Dipole-Dipole (E1-E1)

Dipole-Quadrupole (E1-E2)

Quadrupole-Quadrupole (E2-E2)

THE MULTIPOLE EXPANSION OF THE EM FIELD

Dubovik, V.M. & Tugushev, V.V., Physics Reports 187, 145-202 (1990) Di Matteo, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 45 163001 (2012).





SYMMETRY TRANSFORMATIONS

Symmetry transformation of coordinate system

Space inversion (parity):

• Tensors D and Q are even and I odd

=> Dipole-Quadrupole transitions (E1-E2) allowed for "resonant" atom breaking the site inversion symmetry

Rotation:

• Decomposition of tensor elements $(D^{\alpha\beta}, I^{\alpha\beta\psi} \text{ or } Q^{\alpha\beta\psi\delta})$ in its irreducible components $T^{(j)}$ (with dimension 2j+1)

Ex: For Dipole Dipole (E1-E1) $f^{RXS}(dd) \propto \sum_{\alpha\beta} \epsilon'^{\alpha} \epsilon^{\beta} D^{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{j=0,1,2} \sum_{m=-j}^{j} (-1)^{j+m} P_{-m}^{(j)} T_{m}^{(j)}$ $f^{RXS}(dd) \propto \sum_{\alpha\beta} \epsilon'^{\alpha} \epsilon^{\beta} D^{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{j=0,1,2} \sum_{m=-j}^{j} (-1)^{j+m} P_{-m}^{(j)} T_{m}^{(j)}$ $T_{\pm 1}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} (D^{xy} - D^{yx})$ $T_{\pm 1}^{(1)} = \pm \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} [(D^{yz} - D^{zy}) \pm i(D^{xz} - D^{zx})]$ j = 2: $T_{0}^{(2)} = D^{zz} - T_{0}^{(0)}$ $T_{\pm 1}^{(2)} = \pm \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{1}{2} [(D^{xz} + D^{zx}) \pm i(D^{yz} + D^{zy})]$ $T_{\pm 2}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} [2D^{xx} - 2D^{yy} \pm i(D^{xy} + D^{yx})]$

 \Rightarrow Exchange of $\alpha\beta\gamma\delta$ indexes

•j=1,3 pure magnetic terms: antisymmetric with respect to the time-reversal symmetry

ESRF

S. Di Matteo, Y. Joly, C.R. Natoli, Phys. Rev. B 72, 144406 (2005)

Product of irreducible spherical tensors X_q and F_q .

The rank q depends on the order of multipole in the EM field expansion:

$$f_j^{RXS} = \sum_{p,q} (-1)^q X_{-q}^{(p)} F_q^{(p)}(j;\omega)$$

Tensor	rank	\hat{T}	\hat{P}	Type	Multipole
$F^{(0)}(E1 - E1)$	0	+	+	charge	monopole
$F^{(0)}(E2 - E2)$	0	+	+	charge	monopole
$F^{(1)}(E1 - E1)$	1	Т	+	magnetic	dipole
$F^{(1)}(E2 - E2)$	1	1	+	magnetic	dipole
$F^{(1+)}(E1-E2)$	1	+	1	electric	dipole
$F^{(1-)}(E1-E2)$	1	Т	-	polar toroidal	dipole
$F^{(2)}(E1 - E1)$	2	+	+	electric	quadrupole
$F^{(2)}(E2 - E2)$	2	+	+	electric	quadrupole
$F^{(2+)}(E1 - E2)$	2	+	1	axial toroidal	quadrupole
$F^{(2-)}(E1-E2)$	2	1	-	magnetic	quadrupole
$F^{(3)}(E2 - E2)$	3	1	+	magnetic	octupole
$F^{(3+)}(E1-E2)$	3	+	-	electric	octupole
$F^{(3-)}(E1-E2)$	3	-	17	polar toroidal	octupole
$F^{(4)}(E2 - E2)$	4	+	+	electric	hexadecapole

P^{+/-}T⁺ Electric/charge

P⁻T⁻ Magneto-electric



EXPERIMENTAL DETERMINATION OF MULTIPOLAR ORDERED STATES IN V₂O₃

Experiments:

- L. Paolasini, et. al J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom. 120, 1 (2001)
- J. Fernandez-Rodriguez, V. Scagnoli, C. Mazzoli, F. Fabrizi, S.W. Lovesey, J. A. Blanco, D.S. Sivia, K.S. Knight, F. de Bergevin,
- and L. Paolasini, Phys. Rev. B 81 (2010) 085107.

Theory:

- S. Di Matteo, Y. Joly, A. Bombardi, L. Paolasini, F.de Bergevin, and C.R. Natoli, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 257402 (2003)
- S. Loversey, J. Fernandez-Rodriguez, J.A. Blanco, D.S. Sivia, K.S. Knight, L. Paolasini, Phys. Rev. B 75 (2007) 014409





XLD

POLARIZATION DEPENDENT ABSORPTION SPECTROSCOPIES

A Light for Science



 $\Delta \mu = [\mu^+ (\mathbf{H}\uparrow) + \mu^- (\mathbf{H}\uparrow)] - [\mu^- (\mathbf{H}\downarrow) + \mu^+ (\mathbf{H}\downarrow)] \quad \Omega = \mathbf{i}[n, L^2]/2$



RESONANT INELASTIC X-RAY SCATTERING

Ament et al., REVIEWS OF MODERN PHYSICS, VOLUME 83, 705 (2011)

Probe elementary excitations in complex materials by measuring their energy, momentum, and polarization dependence.

Determining the low-energy charge, spin, orbital, and lattice excitations of solids



- Aggressive improvement of energy resolution, but still high with respect neutron inelastic energies

- Hard x-rays edges limited by resonance enhancement
- Future perspectives for advances X-FEL



SYNCHROTRON RESEARCH ON MAGNETISM A Light for Science





Thank you for your attention!

