

EDXAS workshop 2-5 February 2009 ESRF – Grenoble - France

## TE-XAS project Scientific opportunities and technical challenges

Olivier Mathon, Trevor Mairs, Sakura Pascarelli







## Overview

I. ID24 performance and limitations ID24 scheme Limitations

II. TE-XAS Project General presentation Rationale of TE-XAS Conceptual design

III. Technical Challenges Sources Optics Design and Stability Detection







### Evolution of spot size in last 10 years on ID24

ID24 started operation with spot sizes of 50 x 250 μm<sup>2</sup>



Is a smaller spot size interesting ?



### Melts at Megabar pressures

Structure of melts as well as thermodynamic conditions of melting under high pressure are of great interest in different fields: fundamental physics planetary and Earth Science



#### European Synchrotron Radiation Facility



## Hyperspectral mapping

**Energy dispersive absorption spectroscopy for hard-X-ray micro-XAS applications** S. Pascarelli *et al.*, J. Synch Rad. **13**, 351 (2006)

#### Micro-XAS two dimensional mapping

- novel application of EDXAS
- it exploits the main strengths of EDXAS:
  - stability
  - small beam
  - speed



Redox and speciation micromapping using dispersive X-ray absorption spectroscopy: Application to iron in chlorite mineral of a metamorphic rock thin section M. Muñoz *et al.*, GGG **7**, Q11020 (2006)

(a)





(b)

Length (µm)



log (Io/It)

## ID24 upgrade : Is a small focal spot always good ?





Ever smaller beams make this sort of experiment ever more difficult to realise: even now we cannot achieve this performance at <15 keV with Bragg polychromators because of this.

M. A. Newton, J. Synchrotron Rad., 2007, 14, 372-381.



## Stability



Main effects heat load control temperature drifts of the optical element temperature stability of the hutch mechanical vibration stability of the electron beam



European Synchrotron Radiation Facility



## XMCD under high magnetic fields





## Crystal degradation

Polychromator crystal in Bragg case under X-ray beam degrades

- not visible on new crystals
- appears quickly under X-ray beam exposition
- appears more quickly at low energies (7 keV)
- are localized
- depends on the Bragg reflection: (111) more sensitive than (311) or (220)
- not visible with topography, microscopy



Polychromator under high vacuum (F. Baudelet experience at SOLEIL)



## We need to upgrade because:

1. The decrease of the spot size has opened new scientific opportunities:

Explore Earth's core

- "in-situ" laser heated DAC
- spatial or time resolution



Hyperspectral mapping

- full EXAFS/pixel
- rapid



BUT, the full exploitation of a 2x2  $\mu m^2$  spot requires a new BL design based on new stability and precision standards

2. The drastic decrease of the spot has penalized all activities on samples heterogeneous on the micron scale (i.e. heterogeneous catalysts or magnetic materials for "real" devices)

We are <u>unable</u> today to measure EXAFS on some of the most interesting systems (i.e. atomic scale magnetostriction in Terfenol-D).

 $\rightarrow$  large spot required to average over spatial heterogeneities







μs

# Time resolved and Extreme conditions XAS <u>**Lime resolved</u></u> <u><b>Extreme conditions**</u></u>

phase transitions

chemical reactions at HP and HT

fast 2D hyperspectral mapping

redox, speciation

pulsed high magnetic fields

laser shocked matter



solution chemistry photochemistry microfluidics

ns → ps recombination radiative decay rotational motion strain propagation

#### fm atomic displacements

reversible H<sub>2</sub> storage processes energy-driven magnetic materials magnetostriction, piezoelectricity P > 100 GPa T > 3000 K

#### earth and planetary science

speciation oxidation states partition coefficients complexation in aqueous fluids

#### warm dense matter

equillibrium τ ~ μs plasma opacity local order

#### material science

HP properties of catalysts new materials

electronic structure local order



## What drives our technical choices for the TE-XAS project

- 1. No compromise on flux, focal spot, sample environments
- 2. Synergy with standard EXAFS activity
- 3. Shared infrastructures ID24/BM29

Stability

Beam stability (Source, vibration) Thermal stability (Laue, mirror, hutches) Setup stability (optimized, stability, manpower and beamtime efficiency → 30% waste)

 Optimized Optics EDXAS-S EDXAS-L ∆E extended at low E High flux crystal degradation (UHV)

#### • Detection

ms down to the  $\mu$ s 100 ps in pump and probe mode fluorescence 100 ms ps using streak camera







## EXAFS: a "standard EXAFS" station on BM29

#### No fundamental change in the layout

- Monochromator, mirrors, experimental hutch
- Transmission with very good signal to noise ratio
- Fluorescence and TEY
- Improvement of the stability
- Upgrade of the monochromator
  - New mono with liquid N2 cooling
  - 2/3 pairs of crystal in mono 111/311/511
- Possibility to perform Q-EXAFS scans
  - one scan every second
  - up to k=20 Å<sup>-1</sup> with good S/N
  - test on a Co foil in 1 s (1)
- μXAS capability ?
  - 3 x 3 μm<sup>2</sup>
  - up to k=20 Å<sup>-1</sup> with good S/N
  - test on Fe foil (2)

(1) Prestipino et al., to be published (2008), (2) Ziegler et al., accepted to Xray spectrometry (2008)





## Source

#### BM versus wiggler versus undulator

- Wiggler : no coupling optics needed
- Useful Flux (ph/s/0.1%BW), 300 mA

		7 keV	11 keV	20 keV
X 20	BM	4.10 <sup>13</sup>	3.5.10 <sup>13</sup>	3.10 <sup>13</sup>
	W70	7.2.10 <sup>14</sup>	7.2.10 <sup>14</sup>	4.10 <sup>14</sup>
X 5 🦕	U27/U32	4.5.10 <sup>15</sup>	2.5.10 <sup>15</sup>	1.1.10 <sup>15</sup>

• Total power Power Wiggler = X 5 Power Undulator

- High  $\beta$  versus low  $\beta$ 
  - Low β : smaller source and higher divergence
  - Present low  $\beta$  not sufficiently low to avoid coupling optics
  - No possibility to tune "our" β function
  - If coupling optics is needed, then high  $\beta$  is the best compromise



## EDXAS – S : How small can the focal spot be ? $\approx \theta_{B} - \omega_{D}/2$ $\approx \theta_{B} + \omega_{D}/2$ Source

Around the Bragg condition, only a small part of a monochromatic beam is diffracted the divergence of the accepted beam  $\approx \omega_{1} \approx 40$  urad (111, 7 keV)

≈ ∞<sub>D</sub> ≈ 40 µrad (111, 7 keV)

If diffraction curve is approximated by a rectangle focusing diffraction limit is:

$$\Delta x_{dvn}$$
. Div<sub>out</sub> = 0.89  $\lambda$ 

$$\Delta x_{dyn} = 0.89 \ 1.8.10^{-10}/79.10^{-6} = 2 \ \mu m \ !!$$

Confirmed by first dynamical simulation of the Bragg case (V. Mocella, C. Ferrero)



Focal spot at Fe k edge —— Low energy

> medium low medium high high energy

total : FWHM = 38 μm

Slit position (mm)

18

5000000

4000000

3000000

2000000

1000000

-4.6

Focal spot at Cu k edge

ntensity (adu)



## EDXAS – L : How large can the focal spot be ?

Principle : based on dynamical properties of Laue polychromator case  $\rightarrow$  Horizontal focal spot about 40  $\mu$ m

Main difficulty : Heat load on the crystal.

Possible solution : liquid  $N_2$  cooling  $\rightarrow$  dynamical bender impossible

- $\rightarrow$  Crystal fixed on a Cu block with constant radius of curvature
- $\rightarrow$  Change q = f(E) and correction of the spherical aberration

First test are encouraging (measurements)

q (7 keV) – q (9 keV) = 20 mm; q (20 keV) – q (25 KeV) = 2 mm Spherical aberration correction in both cases < 20  $\mu$ m





## Mechanical Design







- Cooling white beam mirror
- Mechanics of the facing mirrors
- Bragg polychromator environment
- New Laue bender
- Sample environment
- Safety



## Detection





- good signal to noise ratio obtained already with 50 single X-ray bunches (4 bunch mode)
- equivalent to 2  $\mu s$  in multibunch at 300 mA with 3 undulators

## Other detection schemes

- Optimized fluorescence with Turbo scan in 100 ms
- Dispersive setup fully compatible with streak camera concept

(1) J. Headspith et al., IEEE Nucl. Sci. Cof. Rec. N55-2, 2421 (2007).



