#### S. Schorr<sup>1</sup>, G. Geandier<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Mineralogy, Crystallography and Materials Science, University Leipzig, Germany <sup>2</sup> European Synchrotron Radiation Facility ESRF, Grenoble, France

# UNIVERSITÄT LEIPZIG 📥

## Cation anti-site occupancies as driving force

## of the structural phase transition in CuInX<sub>2</sub> (X=S, Se, Te)



The structural phase transition in the ternary chalcopyrites CuInX<sub>2</sub> (X=S,Se,Te) was investigated in-situ for the first time



Experimental procedure: Pre-synthesized CuInX<sub>2</sub> samples were encapsulated in silica tubes.Sequences of diffractograms were collected during heating the sample with a heating rate of 38 K/h, realising to record an image every centigrade degree.





**Rietveld** analysis



### Visualisation of the phase transition

#### cation site occupancy tetragonal deformation lattice parameter linear thermal expansion coefficient and : 201 **CuInS**<sub>2</sub> distortion CuInSe = α, ľ, 140 120 55 1.005 100 = α. 1.004 $\alpha_{\rm L} = \frac{1}{2} (2\alpha_{\rm a} + \alpha_{\rm c})$ 80 \$ 1.00 lattice parameter 60 vary independently CuInSe<sub>2</sub> uon l 40 1.0 with temperature Ŧ expa 20 herma 1.1 • •2 anisotropic thermal tetragonal deformation n=c/2a expansion 300 400 500 600 7001020 1040 1060 1080 Temperature [K] open circle refer to literature (Brühl, Neumann, Pfeiffer, Kühn: Phys. Stat. Sol. (a) 66 (1981) 59 : Summary The mechanism of Cu-In anti-site occupation was **CuInTe**<sub>2</sub> 0.24 Cu site In site • • • • • • • 10K revealed as driving force of the phase transition 0.235 because it marks the critical region where · both the chalcopyrite type structure defining parameters $\eta$ and u change drastic $\cdot$ the thermal expansion $\alpha_L$ increases strongly tetragonal distortion u

(anion x-parameter) printed in the Computional Center of the University Leipz